

CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
Acting United States Attorney
Nevada Bar Number 14853
JIM W. FANG
Assistant United States Attorney
501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Ste. 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Phone: (702) 388-6336
Email: jim.fang@usdoj.gov
Attorneys for the United States of America

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIGI J. MONTES,
ALEXANDER HOYOS RIVERA, and
PETER ALEXANDER STINCER,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-mj-1027-DJA

**ORDER to Continue the Preliminary
Hearing (First Request)**

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between Christopher Chiou, Acting United States Attorney, through Jim W. Fang, Assistant United States Attorney, Charles Medlin, Esq. and Jess Marchese, Esq., counsel for Defendant Luigi J. Montes, Ivette A. Maningo, Esq., counsel for Defendant Alexander Hoyos Rivera, and Jacqueline M. Tirinnanzi, Esq., counsel for Defendant Peter Alexander Stincer, that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matter, previously scheduled for January 20, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued until a time convenient to the Court, but no earlier than 90 days from the current setting.

1 1. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 5.1(d) provides that “[w]ith the
2 defendant’s consent and upon a showing of good cause—taking into account the public
3 interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases—a magistrate judge may extend the time
4 limits [for preliminary hearings] one or more times.” Here, the parties desire to explore the
5 potential to resolve this matter before defendants are formally charged by a criminal
6 indictment.

7 2. In that regard, the government will be providing defense counsels with limited
8 Rule 16 discovery in order to facilitate pre-indictment resolution. Defense counsels will need
9 additional time to review the discovery and discuss the case with their clients prior to a
10 preliminary hearing or indictment.

11 3. This continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow defense
12 counsels an opportunity to examine the merits of this case before a potential resolution can
13 be reached between the parties.

14 4. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance.

15 5. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of
16 justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the
17 defendants in a speedy trial.

18 / / /

19 / / /

20 / / /

21 / / /

22 / / /

23 / / /

24 / / /

6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), and considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

DATED this 10th day of January, 2022.

CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
Acting United States Attorney

s/ Jim W. Fang
JIM W. FANG
Assistant United States Attorney
Counsel for the United States

s/ Jess Marchese
JESS MARCHESE, ESQ.
Counsel for Defendant Montes

s/ Ivette A. Maningo
IVETTE A. MANINGO, ESQ.
Counsel for Defendant Rivera

s/ Jacqueline M. Tirinnanzi
JACQUELINE M. TIRINNANZI, ESQ.
Counsel for Defendant Stincer

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIGI J. MONTES,

ALEXANDER HOYOS RIVERA, and

PETER ALEXANDER STINCER,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-mj-1027-DJA

FINDINGS AND ORDER

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

1. The parties desire to continue the preliminary hearing to facilitate pre-indictment resolution, and the government will be providing defense counsels with limited Rule 16 discovery for that purpose. Defense counsels will need additional time to review the discovery and discuss the case with their clients prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment. The Court finds good cause to continue the hearing to allow the parties to reach a pre-indictment resolution.

2. Both counsels for defendants and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.

3. Defendants are not in custody and agree to the continuance.

4. The continuance is not sought for the purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to examine the merits of this case before a potential resolution can be reached between the parties.

1 5. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of
2 justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the
3 defendants in a speedy trial.

4 6. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing
5 the time within which indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
6 § 3161(b), and considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

7 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the
8 above-captioned matter currently scheduled for January 20, 2022, at 4:00 p.m. be vacated
9 and continued to April 25, 2022, at 4:00 p.m., Courtroom 3A.

10 DATED this 11th day of January, 2022.



11
12
13 HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE